

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/583,933	SARNO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ZACHARY M. PAPE	2835	

All Participants:

(1) ZACHARY M. PAPE.

(2) Kenneth Berner (37,093).

Date of Interview: 22 October 2008

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: N/A

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

12

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner noted that the amendments to claim 12 still did not overcome the Examiners objection to the claim. Examiner noted that adding the aircraft seat to the claim would alleviate the objection. Mr. Burner left a voicemail on 10/15/2008 agreeing. Examiner added the limitation as per the attached official action. On 10/22/2008 the Examiner again phoned Mr. Burner regarding claim 10. Mr. Burner agreed to an Examiner's amendment to remove the limitation, "the heat pipe comprises a heat pipe with a two-phase loop,".